

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/511,073	02/23/2000	Jean Mondet	WPB 29683C	4050
25944	7590 01/15/2003			
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928			EXAMINER	
	IA, VA 22320		SERGENT, RABON A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	
			DATE MAILED: 01/15/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/511,073**

Applicant(s)

Mondet et al.

Examiner

Rabon Sergent

1711



	ears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 ((a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply w	ithin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, or Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing day 	apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	tie of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 2	
<u> </u>	
_	s action is non-final.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E.	nce except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is ix parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>20-79</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s) 41-49 and 71-79	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) X Claim(s) 20-40 and 50-70	
7) Claim(s)	
	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r
10) The drawing(s) filed on is	/are a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.
	he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) 💢 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.
2. X Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application No08/283,765 .
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International B	y documents have been received in this National Stage ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic.	
, and the degendent to made of a diamin for dolling,	
Attachment(s)	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) 🔲 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/511,073 Page 2

Art Unit: 1711

1. The request filed on February 8, 2002 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/511,073 is acceptable and a CPA has been

established. An action on the CPA follows.

In accordance with the provisions set forth within MPEP 819, the restriction requirement and 2.

applicants' election have been carried over to current prosecution. Accordingly, claims 20-40 and 50-

70 have been examined on their merits. Claims 41-49 and 71-79 are considered to be non-elected and

have been withdrawn from further consideration.

Claims 20-40, 60, and 64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being 3.

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant

regards as the invention.

Firstly, the use of "may be" within the definition of the variables, renders the claims indefinite,

because it is unclear to what extent the language denoted by "may" is optional.

Secondly, within claims 30 and 60, it is confusing to use the same variable, "p", to define two

distinct value ranges.

Claims 20-40 and 50-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being 4.

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant

regards as the invention.

Within claims 20 and 50, it is unclear what criteria must be satisfied in order for the aqueous

phase to be "suitable".

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R. Sergent at telephone

number (703) 308-2982.

R. Sergent

January 13, 2003